arXiv:hep-th/9209021v1 7 Sep 1992

HUPD-92-09
UB-ECM-PF 92/21
August 1992

Laurent series representation for the open superstring free energy

A.A. Bytsenko
Physico-Mechanical Faculty, State Technical University, St Petersburg 195251, Russia
E. Elizalde
Department E.C.M., Faculty of Physics, University of Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
S.D. Odintsov[1]
Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan
S. Zerbini
Department of Physics, University of Trento, 38050 Povo, Italy

Abstract

Open superstrings at non-zero temperature are considered. A novel representation for the free energy (Laurent series representation) is constructed. It is shown that the Hagedorn temperature arises in this formalism as the convergence condition (specifically, the radius of convergence) of the Laurent series.

PACS:

11.17 Theories of strings and other extended objects, 03.70 Theory of quantized fields, 04.50 Unified theories and other theories of gravitation.

[1]On sabbatical leave from Tomsk Pedagogical Institute, 634041 Tomsk, Russia.

Superstring thermodynamics [1-4] has attracted considerable attention recently (for a general review of strings at non-zero temperature see [1]). One of the main motivations for this study is connected with the fact that string thermodynamics can be relevant for the description of the very early universe [5]. On the other hand, the essential ingredient of string theory at non-zero temperature is the famous Hagedorn temperature, above which the free energy diverges.

According to the most popular viewpoint, the Hagedorn temperature is the critical temperature of some phase transition to some new phase (topological string theory? [6]), which describes string theory above the Hagedorn temperature. Unfortunately, at present there is very little to be said about this new phase of string theory at non-zero temperature. In such a situation, it is reasonable to make an additional effort in order to try to understand more deeply string thermodynamics below the Hagedorn temperature, before becoming involved in the phase transition itself.

In particular, we still do not understand quite properly the physical origin of the Hagedorn temperature or string theory very near the Hagedorn temperature [1-4]. Notice, however, the recent very interesting attempts [7,8] to use string theory at non-zero temperature in the presence of world-sheet boundaries [8], for the description of the high temperature limit of the confining phase of large-n SU(n) Yang-Mills theory.

One can use different representations (in particular, connected with different ensembles) for the calculation of the string free energy. For example, the microcanonical ensemble representation for the string free energy is more convenient than the canonical ensemble representation to investigate string thermodynamics near the Hagedorn temperature. However, all the well-known representations for the free energy (see for example [1]) are integral representations, in which the Hagedorn temperature appears as the convergence condition in the ultraviolet limit. In order to discuss the high-temperature or the low-temperature limits in such representations one must expand the integral in the form of a corresponding series. This is a difficult task.

In the present paper we construct a different (non-integral) representation for the superstring free energy. This representation is quite convenient both at high and at low temperatures, because it is given in terms of a Laurent series. What is more interesting, the Hagedorn temperature appears in this formalism as the radius of convergence of the

Laurent series.

Let us start with the discussion of the free energy in field theory at non-zero temperature. It is quite well-known that the one-loop free energy for the bosonic (b) or fermionic (f) degree of freedom in d-dimensional space is given by

$$F_{b,f} = \pm\frac{1}{\beta}\int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \log\left(1 \mp e^{-\beta u_k}\right) \tag{1}$$

where β is the inverse temperature, $u_k = \sqrt{k^2+m^2}$, and m is the mass for the corresponding degree of freedom. Expanding the logarithm and performing the (elementary) integration one easily gets (see for example [9])

$$\begin{aligned} F_b &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\beta n)^{-d/2}\pi^{-d/2}2^{1-d/2}m^{d/2}K_{d/2}(\beta n m), \\ F_f &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n(\beta n)^{-d/2}\pi^{-d/2}2^{1-d/2}m^{d/2}K_{d/2}(\beta n m), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $K_{d/2}(z)$ are the modified Bessel functions. Using the integral representation for the Bessel function

$$K_{d/2}(z) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{d/2}\int_0^{\infty} ds\, s^{-1-d/2}e^{-s-z^2/(4s)}, \tag{3}$$

one can obtain the well-known proper time representation for the one-loop free energy:

$$F_{b,f} = -\int_0^{\infty} ds\,\pi^{-d/2}2^{-1-d/2}s^{-1-d/2}e^{-m^2 s/2} \times \begin{cases} \left[\theta_3\left(0|\frac{i\beta^2}{2\pi s}\right)-1\right], \\ \left]1-\theta_4\left(0|\frac{i\beta^2}{2\pi s}\right)\right]. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Expression (4) is usually the starting point for the calculation of the string free energy in the canonical ensemble (then m^2 is the mass operator and for closed strings the corresponding constraint should be taken into account).

Let us now consider a different representation (in terms of a Laurent series) for the bosonic or fermionic field free energy in a d-dimensional space-time. In field theory such a representation has been introduced in refs. [10,11]. We start from the obvious identity

$$\int d^d k\, f(k) = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}\int dk\, k^{d-1} f(k). \tag{5}$$

Using it and upon integration of (1) by parts, we obtain

$$F_{b,f} = -\frac{(4\pi)^{(1-d)/2}}{(d-1)\Gamma((d-1)/2)}\int dk^2\, k^{d-1}\frac{1}{u_k\left(e^{\beta u_k}\mp 1\right)}. \tag{6}$$

For the factor in the integrand, $\left(e^{\beta u_k} \mp 1\right)^{-1}$, we shall use the Mellin transform in the following form [12]

$$\frac{1}{e^{ax} \mp 1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \zeta^{(\mp)}(s)\Gamma(s)(ax)^{-s}, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathrm{Re}\ s = c,\ c > 1$, for bosons and $c > 0$ for fermions, $\zeta^{(-)}(s) = \zeta(s)$ is the Riemann-Hurwitz zeta function and $\zeta^{(+)}(s) = (1 - 2^{1-s})\,\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}n^{-s}$, $\mathrm{Re}\ s > 0$.

Substituting (7) into (6), we get

$$F_{b,f} = -\frac{(4\pi)^{(1-d)/2}}{(d-1)\Gamma((d-1)/2)} \int dk^2\, k^{d-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \zeta^{(\mp)}(s)\Gamma(s)\beta^{-s}u_k^{-1-s}. \tag{8}$$

Integrating over k with the help of the Euler beta function $B(x,y) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x+y)$ (notice that, owing to absolute convergence, the order of integration over k and s can be interchanged), we obtain

$$\int dk^2\, k^{d-1}u_k^{-1-s} = (m^2)^{(d-s)/2} B\left(\frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{s-d}{2}\right) = (m^2)^{(d-s)/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{s-d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)},\ \ \mathrm{Re}\, s > d. \tag{9}$$

Finally, one gets [10]

$$F_{b,f} = -2^{-d}\pi^{(1-d)/2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \Gamma(s) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \zeta^{(\mp)}(s)\beta^{-s}(m^2)^{(d-s)/2},\ \ \mathrm{Re}\, s > d. \tag{10}$$

This is the main expression which will be used for the calculation of the one-loop string free energy. Notice that the new formula (10) is quite different from the usual one (4).

For string theory one can write the representation (10) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{bosonic string}} &= -2^{-d}\pi^{(1-d)/2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \Gamma(s) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \zeta(s)\beta^{-s}\mathrm{Tr}\,(M^2)^{(d-s)/2}, \\ &\quad d = 26, \\ F_{\text{superstring}} &= -2^{-d+1}\pi^{(1-d)/2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \Gamma(s) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \zeta(s)(1-2^{-s})\beta^{-s}\mathrm{Str}\,(M^2)^{(d-s)/2}, \\ &\quad d = 10. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Here M^2 is the mass operator and for closed strings the constraint should be introduced via the usual identity [1]. It is interesting to observe that the fermionic contribution to the free energy can be obtained from the bosonic one with the help of (10). The closed result is [13]

$$F_f(\beta) = -\left(2F_b(2\beta) - F_b(\beta)\right). \tag{12}$$

For bosonic strings the mass operator contains both infrared (due to the presence of the tachyon in the spectrum) and ultraviolet divergences, while for superstrings it contains only ultraviolet divergences. Hence, the consideration of superstrings will be much simpler from a technical point of view.

In what follows we shall consider open superstrings only, leaving the (more involved) discussion of closed superstrings and bosonic strings to another work. For the open superstring (without gauge group) the spectrum is given by (see for example [14])

$$M^2 = 2\sum_{i=1}^{d-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(N_{ni}^b + N_{ni}^f\right), \qquad d = 10. \tag{13}$$

In order to calculate $\mathrm{Str}\,(M^2)^{(d-s)/2}$ it is convenient to use the heat-kernel representation

$$\mathrm{Str}\,(M^2)^{(d-s)/2} = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-d}{2}\right)}\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{\frac{s-d}{2}-1}\,\mathrm{Str}\,e^{-tM^2}. \tag{14}$$

For $d = 10$, it is known that

$$\mathrm{Str}\,e^{-tM^2} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-e^{-2tn}}{1+e^{-2tn}}\right)^{-8} = \left[\theta_4\left(0|e^{-2t}\right)\right]^{-8}. \tag{15}$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{Str}\,(M^2)^{\frac{d-s}{2}}\Big|_{d=10} = \frac{2^{5-s/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-5\right)}\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{\frac{s}{2}-6}\left[\theta_4\left(0|e^{-t}\right)\right]^{-8}. \tag{16}$$

According to (10), Re $s > 10$. This is why, for $s \le 10$, expression (16) contains the ultraviolet divergences ($t \to 0$).

Let us now analytically continue the integral (16) to the complex s-plane. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_4\left(0|e^{-t}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\,\theta_2\left(0|e^{-\pi^2/t}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\exp\left[-\frac{\pi^2}{t}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\right] \\ &= 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}\,e^{-\pi^2/(4t)}\left(1+e^{-9\pi^2/t}+e^{-25\pi^2/(4t)}+\cdots\right), \qquad t \longrightarrow 0,\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and therefore

$$\left[\theta_4\left(0|e^{-t}\right)\right]^{-8}\Big|_{t\to 0} = \frac{t^4}{2^8\pi^4}\,e^{2\pi^2/t} - \frac{t^4}{2^5\pi^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2\pi^2/t}\right). \tag{18}$$

Hence, one can identically regularize the integral (16) in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Str}\,(M^2)^{5-\frac{s}{2}} &= \frac{2^{5-s/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-5\right)}\left\{\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{\frac{s}{2}-6}\left[\left[\theta_4\left(0|e^{-t}\right)\right]^{-8} - \frac{t^4}{2^8\pi^4}\left(e^{2\pi^2/t}-8\right)\right]\right. \\ &+ \left.\frac{1}{2^8\pi^4}\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{\frac{s}{2}-2}\left(e^{2\pi^2/t}-8\right)\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Since the regularization of the integral $\int_0^\infty dx\, x^\lambda$ as an analytical function of λ gives $\int_0^\infty dx\, x^\lambda = 0$, it turns out that the last integral in (18) is equal to zero. Moreover, we have

$$\int_0^\infty dt\, t^{\frac{s}{2}-6} t^4 e^{2\pi^2/t} = \int_0^\infty dt\, t^{\left(-\frac{s}{2}+1\right)-1} e^{2\pi^2 t} = (-2\pi^2)^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right), \tag{20}$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{Str}\,(M^2)^{5-\frac{s}{2}} = \frac{2^{-4}\pi^{-6}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}-5\right)}\left[\pi^s\Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)\mathrm{Re}\,(-1)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} + 2G(s,\mu)\right], \tag{21}$$

where

$$G(s,\mu) = \pi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{s/2}\int_0^\mu dt\, t^{\frac{s}{2}-6}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{2}\theta_4\left(0|e^{-\pi t}\right)\right]^{-8} - t^4\left(e^{2\pi/t}-8\right)\right\}. \tag{22}$$

In (22) the infrared cutoff parameter μ has been introduced. Such a regularization is necessary for $s \geq 4$. On the next stage of our calculations this regularization will be removed ($\mu \to \infty$).

For the one-loop free energy, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathrm{superstring}} &= -2^{-13}\pi^{-21/2}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}\zeta(s)(1-2^{-s})\beta^{-s} \\ &\times \left[\pi^s\Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)\mathrm{Re}\,(-1)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} + 2G(s,\mu)\right] \\ &\equiv -2^{-13}\pi^{-21/2}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} ds\, \left[\varphi(s)+\psi(s)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Here

$$\varphi(s) = (1-2^{-s})\mathrm{Re}\,(-1)^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}\zeta(s)\left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{-s}, \tag{24}$$

$$\psi(s) = 2(1-2^{-s})G(s,\mu)\,\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}\zeta(s)\beta^{-s}. \tag{25}$$

The meromorphic function $\varphi(s)$ has first order poles at $s=1$ and $s=2k$, $k=1,2,\ldots$. The meromorphic function $\psi(s)$ has first order poles at $s=1$. The corresponding residues are

$$\mathrm{Res}\,(\varphi(s), s=2k) = (1-2^{-2k})\frac{\Gamma(2k)\zeta(2k)}{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(k)}\left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{-2k} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Res}\,(\psi(s), s=1) = G(1,\infty)\beta^{-1}. \tag{27}$$

The pole of φ for $s = 1$ is also of first order but its residue is imaginary. There are no further poles contributing to the integral. One can see that the regularization cutoff in the infrared domain is removed automatically.

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{superstring}} &= -2^{-13}\pi^{-21/2}\left[\sum_k \text{Res}\left(\varphi(s), s = 2k\right) + \text{Res}\left(\psi(s), s = 1\right)\right] \\ &= -2^{-13}\pi^{-21/2}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A(k)\beta^{-2k} + G(1,\infty)\beta^{-1}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

with

$$A(k) = (-1)^{k+1}(2^{2k} - 1)\pi^{4k}\frac{B_{2k}}{4\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(k+1)}, \tag{29}$$

where B_{2k} are the Bernoulli numbers.

Eq. (28) is the main result of this paper. It gives the Laurent series representation of the open superstring free energy. This representation is very convenient, both for low temperature and for high-temperature calculations. In fact, the only thing to do in any case is to take the neccessary amount of relevant terms of the series (28). Notice, once more, that this series is not just an expansion: it actually provides the *exact* result for any value of β for which the series exists.

Let us discuss this point in more detail, namely the convergence condition for the Laurent series (28). The usual convergence criterion (of quocients) reads

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{A(k+1)}{A(k)} = 4\pi^2\beta^{-2} \equiv q. \tag{30}$$

For $q < 1$ the series is convergent. Hence, expression (28) is convergent when $\beta > \beta_c = 2\pi$, the Hagedorn temperature [1-4]. That is, the Hagedorn temperature provides the convergence radius of the above series, and vice-versa. It is interesting to notice that the coefficients of the main part of the Laurent series (28) are defined in the following way

$$A(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{\mathcal{C}} d\beta\, \beta^{k-1}F(\beta), \tag{31}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is an arbitrary, closed integration path interior to the convergence circle of the series. The radius of this circle of convergence corresponds to the critical temperature.

To summarize, in the present paper we have developed a formalism in which the string free energy is expressed as a Laurent series. The advantages of this formalism are

appealing. To begin with, our representation is exact. Furthermore, it is very convenient both at low and at high (near Hagedorn) temperatures, because (as is clear) one can easily estimate $F(\beta)$ from (28) to any required accuracy. Due to technical reasons, here we have limited ourselves to the case of open superstrings. The Laurent series representation for bosonic strings and for closed superstrings will be discussed in another work.

Acknowledgments

S.D.O. acknowledges a grant from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, which made his participation in this work possible. S.D.O. wishes to thank Prof. T. Muta and the members of the Particle Group at Hiroshima University for kind hospitality. E.E. has been supported by DGICYT (Spain), research project PB90-0022.

References

[1] S.D. Odintsov, *Rivista Nuovo Cim.* **15** (1992) 1.

[2] R. Rohm, *Nucl. Phys.* **B237** (1984) 553; K. Kikkawa and M. Yamasaki, *Phys. Lett.* **B149** (1984) 357; M. Bowick and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** (1985) 2485; M. Gleiser and J.G. Taylor, *Phys. Lett.* **B164** (1985) 36; J.J. Atick and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B310** (1988) 291; S.D. Odintsov, *Europhys. Lett.* **8** (1989) 207.

[3] E. Alvarez and M. Osorio, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 1175; M. McGuigan, *Phys. Rev.* **D38** (1988) 552.

[4] I. Antoniadis, J. Ellis and D.V. Nanopoulos, *Phys. Lett.* **B199** (1987) 402; F. Englert and J. Orloff, *Nucl. Phys.* **B334** (1990) 472; I.M. Lichtzier and S.D. Odintsov, *Yad. Fiz. (Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.)* **51** (1990) 1473;ibid., *Izw. V.U. Zov. (Sov. Phys. Journal)* **12** (1991) 3; I. Antoniadis and C. Kounnas, *Phys. Lett.* **B261** (1991) 369.

[5] A.A. Tseytlin and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B372** (1992) 443; H. Cateau and K. Sumiyashi, Tokyo preprint, HEPTH 9205096, 1992; R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B316** (1989) 391; A.A. Tseytlin, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **9** (1992) 979.

[6] E. Witten, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988) 353; ibid. preprint IASSNS-HEP-89/66, 1989.

[7] J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** (1992) 1267.

[8] M.B. Green, *Phys. Lett.* **B282** (1992) 380.

[9] E. Elizalde and A. Romeo, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 436; ibid. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, to appear.

[10] A.A. Bytsenko, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, preprint Trento Univ. 259, 1992, to appear in *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*.

[11] A.A. Bytsenko, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, preprint Trento Univ. 260, 1992, to appear in *Phys. Lett. B*.

[12] A. ErdΘlyi, ed., *Higher Transcendental Functions*, vols. 1 and 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.

[13] J.S. Dowker and J.P. Schofield, *Nucl. Phys.* **B327** (1989) 267.

[14] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Superstring Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.